

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,020	03/11/2004	Bradley A. Saville	95772-1440	3924	
20736 7590 05/15/2007 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			ALLEN, MARIANNE P		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20030-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	. 1647		
14			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/797,020	SAVILLE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	;				
		Marianne P. Allen	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	arch 2007.						
		action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
7 8	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
				;				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
S Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-4, 6, 11, and 13-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rembaum (U.S. Patent No. 4,369,226) is withdrawn in view of the new matter rejection set forth below. This art could be reapplied if the claims are amended. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The fact that Rembaum teaches other preferred preparations does not negate the fact that the art teaches a method embraced by the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended so that treating the cross-linking agent with a purifying agent occurs after immobilizing the enzyme. The specification does not disclose or contemplate such a method. The intent of the method disclosed is to purify the cross-linking agent before the enzyme is immobilized.

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 1-3 have been amended to recite "aldehyde cross-linking agent." Applicant points to pages 2-3. The only aldehyde related cross-linking agent disclosed and contemplated for use in the method is glutaraldehyde. Using a more generic class of aldehydes is not disclosed.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. This is an enablement rejection.

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record and applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the identity of "active centre species" is disclosed as being cyclic structures and acetals as recited on page 2, lines 9-11. The claims are not so limited and this is not a limiting definition of those compounds that are present in the aqueous solution or that are reduced or removed by treating any cross-linking agent with any purifying agent. One of ordinary skill in the art would not know what compounds must be present in the aqueous solution nor what compounds to remove from the cross-linking agent as required by the claims.

Without knowing the particular compounds to reduce or remove, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been able to extrapolate from glutaraldehyde as the cross-linking agent and activated carbon or activated charcoal as the purifying agent to other cross-linking agents or purifying agents embraced by the claims. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have known

Art Unit: 1647

what aqueous solution comprising a cross-linking agent and polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species to use beyond that exemplified. The required "active centre species" must be considered implicitly present in the exemplified aqueous solutions in view of the specification disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-20 require reducing the amount of polymeric aldehyde species and "other active centre species." While the specification discusses "active centre species" on page 2, lines 35-36, this disclosure does not define the meets and bounds of what compounds must be reduced or removed from the aqueous solution of cross-linking agent. Again, applicant's arguments concerning cyclic structures and acetals are not limitations of the claims nor does the specification disclosure limit "other active centre species" to these compounds.

Claims 1-20 are confusing in requiring purification of the cross-linking agent as the last step for the reasons set forth above. In particular, claim 3 is confusing in requiring purification as the last step as well as in a pre-treatment step.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1647

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-0712. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 5:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1647

Page 6

Marianne P. Allen
Primary Examiner 5/11/07
Art Unit 1647

mpa